TORRES STRAIT MAPPING: SEAGRASS CONSOLIDATION

2002-2014 Report No. 14/55 December 2014

Carter, A.B., Taylor, H.A., Rasheed, M.A.







Australian Government

TORRES STRAIT MAPPING: SEAGRASS CONSOLIDATION 2002 – 2014

Prepared by Alex Carter, Helen Taylor & Michael Rasheed

Report No. 14/55

December 2014

Centre for Tropical Water & Aquatic Ecosystem Research (TropWATER) James Cook University PO Box 6811 Cairns Qld 4870 Phone: (07) 4781 4262 Email: <u>seagrass@jcu.edu.au</u> Web: www.jcu.edu.au/tropwater/









Information should be cited as:

Carter AB, Taylor HA & Rasheed MA 2014, 'Torres Strait Mapping: Seagrass Consolidation, 2002 – 2014", JCU Publication, Report no. 14/55, Centre for Tropical Water & Aquatic Ecosystem Research, Cairns, 47 pp.

For further information contact:

Alex Carter Centre for Tropical Water & Aquatic Ecosystem Research (TropWATER) James Cook University seagrass@jcu.edu.au PO Box 6811 Cairns QLD 4870

To download this report and view the GIS layers go to the Torres Strait eAtlas: <u>http://ts.eatlas.org.au</u>

This publication has been compiled by the Centre for Tropical Water & Aquatic Ecosystem Research (TropWATER), James Cook University.

© James Cook University, 2014.

Except as permitted by the *Copyright Act 1968*, no part of the work may in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or any other means be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or be broadcast or transmitted without the prior written permission of TropWATER. The information contained herein is subject to change without notice. The copyright owner shall not be liable for technical or other errors or omissions contained herein. The reader/user accepts all risks and responsibility for losses, damages, costs and other consequences resulting directly or indirectly from using this information.

Enquiries about reproduction, including downloading or printing the web version, should be directed to seagrass@jcu.edu.au

Acknowledgments:

This project was funded by the Torres Strait Regional Authority. We wish to thank the many TropWATER staff for their invaluable assistance in the field.

We thank the Torres Strait Traditional Owners for access to their traditional waters and fishing grounds, and the spirit of cooperation in developing an understanding of the marine resources of the region. Thanks also to TSRA staff for planning and logistical support.

KEY FINDINGS

- 1. Torres Strait seagrass provides critical habitat for commercial and traditional fishery species, and an important food resource for dugong and green turtle populations.
- 2. This study consolidated seagrass spatial data collected between 2002 and 2014 by TropWATER and the TSRA into a Geographic Information System (GIS) database documenting the current state of knowledge of intertidal and subtidal meadows across the region.
- 3. The sampling methods applied were developed by the TropWATER Seagrass Group and CSIRO for seagrass habitat surveys of subtidal meadows; and TropWATER methods for port surveys and intertidal surveys in areas considered at high risk from shipping accidents in the Torres Strait. These included sampling by boat (free divers, underwater video camera, grabs, sled with net backing), helicopter, and walking.
- 4. Twelve seagrass species from 3 families were identified in intertidal and subtidal meadows between 2002 and 2014. Seagrass was present at 53% of intertidal sites, 53% of subtidal sites (TropWATER surveys), and 34% of subtidal sites from the 2005 CSIRO survey.
- 5. High seagrass biomass regions include the Warrior Reefs, the eastern edge of the Dugong Sanctuary subtidal meadow, and reef top meadows and surrounding islands between Prince of Wales Island and Orman Reefs.
- 6. Seagrass diversity hotspots were identified in meadows between Horn, Wednesday and Hammond Island, and between Badu and Moa Islands, and the eastern edge of the Dugong Sanctuary subtidal meadow.
- Three Torres Strait regions were identified as data deficient, where basic knowledge of seagrass and benthic habitat is unknown or extremely limited (Map 23). These regions are (1) North of the Dugong Sanctuary, including the proposed Dugong Sanctuary extension area extending east to the Warrior Reefs, (2) Prince of Wales Island to western Cape York, and (3) Eastern Cape York and south east Torres Strait.
- 8. Future priorities for seagrass research in Torres Strait should focus on gathering information on seagrasses in data deficient regions identified in this report, extending the current data set to assess habitats at risk from shipping accidents across Torres Strait, and using this spatial data to model oil spill scenarios.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

KE	Y FINDINGS	iv				
1	INTRODUCTION					
2	METHODS					
	2.1 Sampling methods	. 9				
	 2.2 Habitat characterisation sites 2.3 Geographic Information System (GIS) 	.9 10				
3	RESULTS	15				
	3.1 Seagrass species3.2 Key findings for each species	15 24				
4	DISCUSSION	40				
	4.1 Data deficient regions	41 41				
5	REFERENCES	44				

1 INTRODUCTION

Seagrasses are one of the most productive marine habitats on earth and provide a variety of important ecosystem services with substantial economic value (Costanza et al. 2014; Costanza et al. 1997). Seagrass/ algae beds were found to be worth in excess of US\$28,000 per hectare per year (in 2007 dollar value) for the services they contribute (Costanza et al. 2014). These services include the provision of nursery habitat for economically-important fish and crustaceans (Heck et al. 2003; McKenzie et al. 1996; Coles et al. 1993) and food for herbivores like dugongs and sea turtles (Unsworth and Cullen 2010; Heck et al. 2008). Seagrasses play a major role in the cycling of nutrients (McMahon and Walker 1998), stabilisation of sediments (Madsen et al. 2001) and improving water quality (McGlathery et al. 2007). Recent studies also suggest seagrass meadows are one of the most efficient and powerful marine carbon sinks, storing nearly three times more organic carbon than the carbon stocks of the world's forests (Lavery et al. 2013; Fourqurean et al. 2012; Pendleton et al. 2012).

The Torres Strait region covers an area of more than 48,000 km² and is located on one of the world's most extensive continental shelves. Torres Strait comprises 247 islands, 18 of which are permanently inhabited. Local island communities in the Torres Strait are deeply connected to their sea country through their culture and economy. The health of marine resources is vital to Torres Strait Islanders from a subsistence, commercial and cultural point of view. Torres Strait is estimated to contain between 13,425km² (Coles et al. 2003) and 17,500km² (Poiner and Peterkin 1996) of seagrass habitat, providing critical habitat for commercial and traditional fishery species as well as important food resources for dugong and green turtle populations (Marsh and Kwan 2008; Coles et al. 2003). The largest population of dugongs in the world is in Torres Strait (Sheppard et al. 2008; Marsh and Lawler 2002; Marsh et al. 1997), where the long-standing importance of dugongs for subsistence by Torres Strait Islanders has been traced in archaeological deposits dating back 7000 years (Vanderwal 1973). Dugong remains the most significant and highest ranked marine food source in Torres Strait's traditional subsistence economy (Kwan 2002; Johannes and MacFarlane 1991; Raven 1990; Nietschmann 1984). A segment of the Torres Strait Protected Zone and adjacent area was designated as a Dugong Sanctuary, in which all hunting of dugong was banned from 1985; an extension of the Dugong Sanctuary is proposed to the north of the current sanctuary (Map 1).

Seagrasses are declining globally from natural disturbances such as storms, disease and overgrazing by herbivores, and from anthropogenic influences including disturbance from coastal development, dredging, trawling, and changes in water quality due to sedimentation, pollution and eutrophication (Waycott et al. 2009; Short and Wyllie-Echeverria 1996). In the tropical Indo-Pacific region a recent assessment of the relative impacts of anthropogenic activities identified industrial and urban run-off, port development, and dredging as the main threats to seagrass ecosystems (Grech et al. 2012). In Torres Strait, seagrasses may be strongly influenced by extremes in weather. Substantial seagrass dieback (up to 60%) has been documented on two occasions in central Torres Strait and linked to declines in the dugong population (Marsh et al. 2004; Long and Skewes 1996). The causes for these diebacks were initially proposed to be caused by flooding and runoff from land based mining activities in Papua New Guinea (Long and Skewes 1996), but recent investigations have found dispersal of terrigenous sediments appears to be restricted to within 5-10km of the Papua New Guinea coast (Heap and Sbaffi 2008) and that movement of large sandbanks are unlikely to affect seagrass communities of Torres Strait on a regional scale (Daniell et al. 2006). The causes of such diebacks, therefore, remain unclear.

Shipping activity in Torres Strait also poses a threat for seagrasses in the region. Designated shipping lanes that traverse Torres Strait waters provide a means for large vessels to access ports. These shipping lanes often pass through economically and ecologically important natural habitats and often occur in areas that contain significant navigation hazards. In these areas there is a heightened risk of shipping accidents, including collisions and groundings of vessels that may result in oil, fuel and chemical spills. Many marine habitats such as seagrasses, algae, mangroves and coral reefs are vulnerable to oil and fuel spills, particularly when they occur in intertidal areas. Torres Strait contains three of six marine environments identified as high risk areas (MEHRAs) for Queensland's shipping lanes and ports, where there is a heightened risk of accidents as well as heightened consequences of an accident (Queensland Transport and GBRMPA 2000) (Map 1). The six MEHRAs identified in the risk assessment were:

- 1. Prince of Wales Channel (Torres Strait)
- 2. Great North East Channel (Torres Strait)
- 3. Inner Shipping Route between Cape Flattery and Torres Strait
- 4. Whitsunday Islands and Passages
- 5. Hydrographers Passage
- 6. Moreton Bay

Managing seagrass resources in Torres Strait requires adequate baseline information on the seagrass presence and absence, seagrass biomass, species composition, and meadow area. This baseline will be particularly important as a point of reference against which to compare seagrass losses should another dieback event occur in Torres Strait. The Centre for Tropical Water & Aquatic Ecosystem Research (TropWATER), in collaboration with the Torres Strait Regional Authority (TSRA) Land and Sea Management Unit (LSMU), have been collecting spatial data on intertidal and subtidal seagrass in Torres Strait since 2002. This spatial data comes from four research projects:

- 1. Intertidal surveys in areas considered at high risk from shipping accidents in the Torres Strait (2002, 2004-2006, 2008-2013).
- 2. Subtidal seagrass surveys in western Torres Strait and the Dugong Sanctuary (2009-2011).
- 3. CSIRO mapping and characterisation of benthic assemblages in Torres Strait (2005).
- 4. Port of Thursday Island intertidal and subtidal seagrass surveys (conducted biennially since 2002).

The objective of the present study was to consolidate the extensive seagrass spatial data collected between 2002 and 2014 by TropWATER into a Geographic Information System (GIS) database documenting the current state of knowledge of intertidal and subtidal meadows across the region.



2 METHODS

2.1 Sampling methods

The sampling methods applied were developed by the TropWATER Seagrass Group for seagrass habitat surveys previously used at subtidal meadows, e.g. Mabuiag Island (Chartrand et al. 2009), Badu Island (Taylor and Rasheed 2010) and Moa Island (Taylor 2011); port surveys, e.g. Thursday Island (Carter et al. 2014); and intertidal surveys in areas considered at high risk from shipping accidents in the Torres Strait (Carter et al. 2013).

Methods used in the 2005 CSIRO subtidal survey employed a video camera that was towed for 500 m at each site, then towing of a 1.5 m wide epibenthic sled with a 10mm mesh net backing to collect seagrass specimens. The sled was towed for 200 m over the same site. Sediment type and depth below mean sea level (dbMSL) were also recorded. Samples were sorted into species and weighed (wet weight). Detailed descriptions of the sampling methods and seagrass collected on this trip are provided in Haywood et al. (2008) and Sheppard et al. (2008).

2.2 Habitat characterisation sites

Data recorded at each site included:

- 1. Site details Latitude and longitude by GPS, depth below mean sea level (dbMSL) for subtidal meadows.
- 2. Seagrass biomass Above-ground biomass was determined using a "visual estimates of biomass" technique (Mellors 1991) using trained observers. A linear regression was calculated for the relationship between the observer ranks and the harvested values. This regression was used to calculate above-ground biomass for all estimated ranks made from the survey sites. Biomass ranks were then converted into above-ground biomass estimates in grams dry weight per square metre (g DW m⁻²). Observers estimated biomass data using video transects, grabs, free diving, helicopter and walking:
 - (a) Video transect: commonly used for subtidal meadows. At each transect site an underwater CCTV camera system was lowered from the vessel to the bottom (Figure 1). For each transect the camera was towed at drift speed (less than one knot) and ten random "drops" of the camera were conducted approximately 5m apart. Footage was observed on a TV monitor and digitally recorded. The video was paused at each of the ten random time frames selected. From this frame, an observer recorded an estimated rank of seagrass biomass and species composition. On completion of the video analysis, the video observer ranked five additional quadrats that had been previously videoed for calibration. These quadrats were videoed in front of a stationary camera, and then harvested, dried and weighed.
 - (b) van Veen grab: commonly used for subtidal meadows. A sample of seagrass was collected using a van Veen grab (grab area 0.0625 m²) to identify species present at each site (Figure 1). Species identified from the grab sample were used to inform species composition assessments made from the recorded video transects (Kuo and McComb 1989).
 - (c) Free diving, helicopter and walking: At each site seagrass above-ground biomass and species composition were estimated from three 0.25 m² quadrats placed randomly within a 10m² circular area. Seagrass per cent cover and sediment type were recorded at each site. The "visual estimates of biomass" technique when applied to helicopter/walking surveys involves ranking while referring to a series of quadrat

photographs of similar seagrass habitats for which the above-ground biomass has previously been measured. Three separate biomass scales are used: low-biomass, highbiomass, and *Enhalus*-biomass. The relative proportion of the above-ground biomass (percentage) of each seagrass species within each survey quadrat is also recorded. Field biomass ranks are converted into above-ground biomass estimates in grams dry weight per square metre (g DW m⁻²). At the completion of sampling each observer ranks a series of calibration quadrats as with the video surveys.



Figure 1. Subtidal mapping of seagrass meadows using CCTV system and van Veen sediment grab.

2.3 Geographic Information System (GIS)

All survey data were entered into a Geographic Information System (GIS) developed for Torres Strait using ArcGIS software. Rectified colour satellite imagery of the region (Source: ESRI) and aerial photographs taken from the helicopter during surveys were used to identify geographical features, such as reef tops, channels, deep-water drop-offs, to assist in determining seagrass meadow boundaries. Several GIS layers were created in ArcMap[®] to describe intertidal and subtidal seagrass meadows.

Site information layers

This layer contains information on all data collected at habitat assessment sites, and includes seagrass percent cover and above-ground biomass (for each species), percent algal cover, Shannon-Weaver diversity index values, sediment type, time, latitude and longitude from GPS fixes, dbMSL for subtidal meadows, sampling method and any comments. Site information is presented several times in this report to represent the year of survey (Map 2), intertidal and subtidal sampling sites (Map 3), seagrass presence/absence (Map 4) and seagrass species composition from the CSIRO 2005 survey (Map 22).

Seagrass meadow layers

This includes area data for seagrass meadows with summary information on meadow characteristics. Information in the site layer was used to construct subsequent polygon (area) layers describing whether seagrass meadows were intertidal or subtidal (Map 20), seagrass meadow community type (Maps 21-22), and seagrass meadow cover (Maps 21-22). Seagrass community types were determined according to overall species composition within a meadow. A standard nomenclature system was used to categorize each seagrass meadow. This system was based on the percent composition of biomass contributed by each species within the meadow (Table 1). This nomenclature also included a measure of meadow density categories (light, moderate, dense) that was determined by the mean above-ground biomass of the dominant

species within the meadow (Table 2). Seagrass cover was categorized according to whether coverage consisted of isolated patches, aggregated patches, or continuous cover (Figure 2). Seagrass cover was not recorded for every meadow surveyed between 2002 and 2014, but where that data was available it has been included on the maps.

Table 1. Nomenclature for seagrass community types.

Community type	Species composition		
Species A	Species A is 90-100% of composition		
Species A with Species B	Species A is 60-90% of composition		
Species A with Species B/Species C	Species A is 50% of composition		
Species A/Species B	Species A is 40-60% of composition		

Table 2. Density categories and mean above-ground biomass ranges for each species used in determining seagrass community density.

	Mean above-ground biomass (g DW m ⁻²)					
Density	H. uninervis (narrow)	H. ovalis H. decipiens H. capricorni	H. uninervis (wide) C. serrulata C. rotundata S. isoetifolium T. hemprichii	H. spinulosa	Z. muelleri subsp. capricorni	E. acoroides T. ciliatum
Light	< 1	< 1	< 5	< 15	< 20	< 40
Moderate	1 - 4	1 - 5	5 - 25	15 - 35	20 - 60	40 - 100
Dense	> 4	> 5	> 25	> 35	> 60	> 100

Each seagrass meadow was assigned a mapping precision estimate (±m) based on the mapping method used for that meadow (Table 3). Mapping precision estimates ranged from <5m for isolated intertidal seagrass meadows to 10 - 100m for larger patchy intertidal/ subtidal meadows. The mapping precision estimate was used to calculate a range of meadow area for each meadow and was expressed as a meadow reliability estimate (R) in hectares. The reliability estimate for subtidal habitat is based on the distance between sites with and without seagrass when determining the habitat boundary. Additional sources of mapping error associated with digitising aerial photographs into base maps and with GPS fixes for survey sites were embedded within the meadow reliability estimates.

Seagrass biomass and diversity

An inverse distance weighted interpolation (IDW) was applied to seagrass biomass at habitat characterisation sites to describe spatial variation in biomass across each meadow and throughout the region (Maps 5-6). IDW interpolations were also applied to biomass of each seagrass species (Maps 9-19). The Shannon-Weaver (or Shannon-Weiner) index was used as a measure of seagrass species diversity and is presented in Maps 7-8. This index is a mathematical measure of species richness (the number of species present) and the relative abundance of different species (Spellerberg and Fedor 2003).



Figure 2. Seagrass cover categories used to describe Torres Strait meadows.

Mapping precision	Mapping method
1-10 m	Meadow boundaries mapped in detail by GPS from helicopter; Intertidal meadows completely exposed or visible at low tide; Relatively high density of mapping and survey sites; Recent aerial photography aided in mapping.
10-50 m	Meadow boundaries determined from helicopter and camera/grab surveys; Inshore boundaries mapped from helicopter; Offshore boundaries interpreted from survey sites and aerial photography; Relatively high density of mapping and survey sites.
100 m	Sites generally surveyed by boat Seagrass meadow boundary determined from distance between sites No distinct topographic features from satellite imagery aided in mapping Relatively low density of survey sites

Table 3. Mapping precision and methods for seagrass meadows.





3 RESULTS

3.1 Seagrass species

Twelve seagrass species from three families were identified in intertidal and subtidal meadows between 2002 and 2014 (Figure 3). Seagrass was present at 53% of 7149 intertidal sites, 53% of 1901 subtidal sites (TropWATER surveys), and 34% of 168 subtidal sites from the 2005 CSIRO survey (Map 4).

In terms of the average contribution to biomass across all sites, *E. acoroides* followed by *T. hemprichii* were the dominant species at intertidal sites (Figure 4a); *E. acoroides, C. serrulata* and *T. ciliatum* were the three equally dominant species at subtidal sites (each contributing approximately 20% of biomass, Figure 4b); and *C. serrulata* and *H. spinulosa* combined accounted for 77% of biomass at the subtidal CSIRO sites (Figure 4c). The dominance of *E. acoroides* and *T. ciliatum* occurred because these species, when present, have relatively high biomass compared to other seagrass species. For example, *E. acoroides* contributed an average 46% of biomass across intertidal sites, but was only present in 17% of sites (Figures 4a, 5a); *T. ciliatum* contributed an average 20% of biomass across subtidal sites, but was only present in 3% of sites (Figures 4b, 5b). The most frequently recorded species were *T. hemprichii* (29%) and *H. uninervis* (19%) at intertidal sites (Figure 5a); *C. serrulata* (22%) and *H. ovalis* (21%) at subtidal sites (Figure 5b); and *C. serrulata* (23%) and *H. spinulosa* (22%) at the subtidal CSIRO sites (Figure 5c).

Seagrass biomass was generally less than 10 g DW m⁻² in meadows (Map 5). Regions of high seagrass biomass include the Warrior Reefs, the eastern edge of the Dugong Sanctuary subtidal meadow, and reef top meadows and surrounding islands between Prince of Wales Island and Orman Reefs (Map 6). Seagrass diversity was lowest in the central and eastern Torres Strait (Map 7). Seagrass diversity hotspots were identified in meadows between Horn, Wednesday and Hammond Island, and between Badu and Moa Islands, and the eastern edge of the Dugong Sanctuary subtidal meadow (Map 8).



Figure 3. Seagrass species present across Torres Strait intertidal and subtidal meadows, 2002-2014.





Figure 4. Average seagrass species composition at sites with seagrass across Torres Strait (a) intertidal and (b) subtidal meadows (2002-2014), and (c) subtidal meadows surveyed during CSIRO cruise (2005).



Figure 5. Presence of seagrass species at sites with seagrass across Torres Strait (a) intertidal and (b) subtidal meadows (2002-2014), and (c) subtidal meadows surveyed during CSIRO cruise (2005).









3.2 Key findings for each species

H. spinulosa was recorded throughout most of the Dugong Sanctuary meadow, subtidal areas around Orman Reefs to Mabuiag Island, and small patches between Horn and Hammond Island (Maps 9, 20-22).

H. ovalis was recorded throughout most of the Dugong Sanctuary meadow, intertidal and subtidal areas around Orman Reefs to Prince of Wales Island, Warrior Reefs intertidal reef tops, and intertidal areas in north east Torres Strait (Maps 10, 21-22). *H. ovalis* is a low biomass species so meadows were rarely classed as *H. ovalis* dominant; a few exceptions were small meadows near Moa and Badu Island and in the Horn Island region (Map 21).

H. decipiens was mainly recorded in patches on the eastern side of the Dugong Sanctuary meadow, subtidal areas around Orman Reefs, and small patches from Mabuiag Island to Thursday Island (Maps 11, 22). *H. decipiens* is a low biomass species and only one meadow was classed as *H. decipiens* dominant, at Orman Reefs (Map 21).

S. isoetifolium was recorded in patches throughout most of the Dugong Sanctuary meadow, and intertidal and subtidal areas following the island chain from western Cape York to Boigu Island near Papua New Guinea (Maps 12, 22). *S. isoetifolium* was the dominant species in the large subtidal meadow between Mabuiag Island and Orman Reefs (Map 21).

H. uninervis was mainly recorded in patches on the eastern side of the Dugong Sanctuary meadow, and reef top meadows and surrounding islands between Prince of Wales Island and Orman Reefs (Maps 13, 22). *H. uninervis* is a low biomass species and meadows were rarely classed as *H. uninervis* dominant; several exceptions include small meadows in the Moa and Badu Island region (Map 21).

C. serrulata was recorded throughout the Dugong Sanctuary meadow, intertidal and subtidal areas between Prince of Wales Island and Boigu Island, and patches in the Warrior Reef/ Sassie Island region (Maps 14, 20-22). High biomass *C. serrulata* sites (> 30 g DW m⁻²) occurred in the areas between Horn and Hammond Islands, where *C. serrulata* was the dominant species (Maps 14, 21). *C. serrulata* was also the dominant species in meadows in the Moa and Badu Island region (Map 21).

C. rotundata was recorded in intertidal meadows from Orman Reefs to Prince of Wales Island, the Warrior Reef/ Sassie Island region, and intertidal areas in north east Torres Strait (Map 15). High biomass *C. rotundata* sites occurred in meadows in the Prince of Wales, Wednesday and Horn Island region, and the Badu and Moa Island regions where a few small meadows were classed as *C. rotundata* dominated (Maps 15, 21).

T. hemprichii was recorded in intertidal and subtidal meadows from Orman Reefs to Prince of Wales Island, and the Warrior Reef/ Sassie Island and north east Torres Strait regions (Maps 16, 22). Very high biomass *T. hemprichii* sites (> 50 g DW m⁻²) occurred on reef tops at the south Warrior Reefs and north Orman Reefs (Map 16). *T. hemprichii* was the dominant species in the Warrior Reef/ Sassie Island and north east Torres Strait region in all but one meadow (northern Warrior Reefs, Map 20). *T. hemprichii* was also the dominant species in many of the intertidal and shallow subtidal meadows between Orman Reefs and Horn Island (Map 21).

E. acoroides was recorded in intertidal and shallow subtidal areas following the island chain from western Cape York to Saibai Island near Papua New Guinea, intertidal areas in the Warrior Reef/ Sassie Island region, and small patches around Stephens and Darnley Islands in north east Torres Strait (Maps 17, 22). Very high biomass *E. acoroides* sites (> 50 g DW m⁻²) occurred on

reef tops at the Orman Reefs and the Prince of Wales, Hammond and Horn Island region (Map 17). *E. acoroides* was the dominant species in the intertidal meadow on north Warrior Reef and in many of the intertidal meadows between Orman Reefs and western Cape York peninsula (Maps 20-21).

T. ciliatum was recorded in intertidal and subtidal areas following the island chain from Prince of Wales to Orman Reefs, intertidal areas on the Warrior Reefs, and several small patches in the north east section of the Dugong Sanctuary meadow (Map 18). Sites with very high biomass (> 50 g DW m⁻²) were recorded throughout the intertidal regions where *T. ciliatum* was found (Map 18). *T. ciliatum* was the dominant species in several meadows, including south Orman Reefs, between Badu and Moa Islands, between Hammond and Thursday Islands, and northern Wednesday Island (Map 21).

Z. muelleri subsp. *capricorni* had the smallest distribution of intertidal species recorded in Torres Strait, with small patches recorded on the northern tip of Cape York, Adolphus Island, and in the area between Prince of Wales, Horn and Hammond Islands (Map 19). Sites with very high biomass (> 50 g DW m⁻²) of *Z. muelleri* subsp. *capricorni* sites were recorded at Cape York, Prince of Wales Island and Horn Island (where it was also the dominant species in one meadow (Maps 19, 21). *Z. muelleri* subsp. *capricorni* was not present at any of the subtidal sites surveyed during the 2005 CSIRO cruise (Map 22).

H. capricorni was recorded at only one subtidal site, just west of Orman Reefs, as one of six species at a site dominated by *S. isoetifolium* and *H. spinulosa* (Map 22).





























4 **DISCUSSION**

This study provides a comprehensive spatial description of intertidal and subtidal segarass resources across Torres Strait, incorporating data collected over 12 years. Species diversity and biomass hotspots were primarily found on reeftops and surrounding continental islands between Prince of Wales Island and Orman Reefs. Subtidal seagrasses showed a clear distributional pattern and were almost exclusively located west of the Warrior Reefs. Intertidal seagrass was present east of the Warrior Reefs but was almost exclusively restricted to *T. hemprichii*.

The Dugong Sanctuary in western Torres Strait contains the largest recorded single continuous seagrass meadow in Australia and, along with extensive intertidal and subtidal meadows extending from Prince of Wales Island to the Orman Reefs, is likely to play a vital role for local dugong and turtle populations as an important food resource. Seagrass species found included those known to be important for dugong and turtles (Aragones et al. 2006; Bjorndal 1995) as well as nursery grounds for commercial fisheries species (Coles et al. 1993; Watson et al. 1993). An anlaysis of the data collated during this study indicates that seagrass remains in the area throughout the year, providing an important and consistent food source for dugong and turtle.

Torres Strait contains a high diversity of seagrass species. Species rich seagrass assemblages in the Indo-Pacific Ocean may be a product of past grazing activities of large herbivores, particularly dugong (Heck and Valentine 2006). When feeding, dugong often remove the entire plant (including roots and rhizomes) which tends to prevent the development of a climax community dominated by a single species, and allows their preferred forage species to persist (Aragones et al. 2006). The vast area of highly diverse seagrasses provides a consistent source of primary production supporting the region's marine ecosystems. Studies at the nearby Orman Reefs and Mabuiag Island have shown Torres Strait seagrass meadows are extremely productive, completely turning over their above-ground biomass in as little as 9 and 12 days respectively (Taylor et al. 2013; Rasheed et al. 2008).

Future changes in the distribution and structure of Torres Strait seagrass communities may have profound implications for local and regional biota, particularly dugong, turtle and economically important fisheries. The spatial distribution of quality food strongly influences the movement patterns and foraging behaviours of dugong (Sheppard et al. 2007). Seagrass areas in Torres Strait have undergone 'diebacks', or large-scale episodic losses and changes in distribution on temporal scales of up to decades (Williams 1994). Torres Strait Islanders widely reported such a dieback event in the mid-1970s and in the early 1980s (Williams 1994; Johannes and MacFarlane 1991). Although the reasons behind these diebacks remain unclear, local dugong mortality rates increased dramatically following these events (Marsh et al. 2004). A similar pattern of large-scale seagrass loss across the east coast of Queensland in 2011 resulted in a 215% and 176% increase in dugong and turtle deaths respectively (compared to 2010), primarily as a result of starvation (DERM 2011). These statistics are alarming in the face of predicted climate change scenarios and the potential negative effect on seagrasses. The management of seagrass resources should be focused on reducing any anthropogenic impacts and risks to ensure resilience levels of local seagrass populations remain high.

4.1 Data deficient regions

Three Torres Strait regions were identified where basic knowledge of seagrass and benthic habitat is unknown or extremely limited (Map 23). These regions are:

- Data deficient region 1 North of the Dugong Sanctuary, including the proposed Dugong Sanctuary extension area extending east to the Warrior Reefs. This region includes all subtidal areas and Deliverance, Boigu, Turnagain, Saibai and Gabba Islands. This region has been identified as containing large dugong and turtle populations, and is an important hunting and fishing ground for Traditional Owners, in particular people from Boigu Island (Map 23). A spatial model of dugong distribution based on aerial survey results (1987 -2011) indicated the most important dugong habitat in Torres Strait is the ~10 500 km² area that extends from Badu/Moa Islands to Boigu Island, east to Gabba Island and west to Deliverance Island. This region contains 56% of the high and very high density dugong habitat in Torres Strait (Marsh et al. 2012).
- 2. Data deficient region 2 Prince of Wales and western Cape York. Seagrass meadows already mapped adjacent to this region have high biomass and diversity. This data deficient region is adjacent to the Prince of Wales Shipping Channel so seagrasses in this region are particularly at risk from shipping accidents and oil and chemical spills (Map 23).
- 3. Data deficient region 3 Eastern Cape York and south east Torres Strait. This data deficient region is adjacent to the Adolphus Shipping Channel and Inner Shipping Route, and includes reefs and islands to the south of the Great Northeast Shipping Channel, so seagrasses in this region are also particularly at risk from shipping accidents and oil and chemical spills (Map 23).

4.2 Recommendations

This study provides a valuable spatial assessment of the current state of knowledge of intertidal and subtidal seagrass biomass, meadow area, species composition and species diversity across Torres Strait. Future priorities for seagrass research in Torres Strait should focus on gathering information on seagrasses in data deficient regions identified in this report, extending the current data set to assess habitats at risk from shipping accidents across Torres Strait, and using this spatial data to model oil spill scenarios. We recommend:

1. Conduct subtidal and intertidal seagrass surveys in data deficient regions 1-3. This would provide Torres Strait Islanders with detailed maps of intertidal and subtidal seagrass habitats in regions where there is currently no data available. This is particularly important in data deficient region 1 (northern Torres Strait) where dugong occur in the highest densities (Marsh et al. 2012). Gathering information on seagrass habitat in all data deficient regions are particularly important, however, considering the Torres Strait community's dependence on fisheries from an economic and subsistence perspective, and the importance of seagrass meadows as fisheries habitat. Data collected during intertidal surveys would also continue the 2002 - 2013 mapping of marine habitats at risk from shipping in Torres Strait (see Recommendation 2, below). Information on the biomass, distribution and species composition of seagrass can be used to inform future decision making on spatial management of marine resources and could be incorporated into the e-Atlas.

- 2. Extend the current data set to assess habitats at risk from shipping accidents across Torres Strait. This project would involve the consolidation of all habitat vulnerability to shipping accidents and oil spill spatial data collected in Torres Strait by the TropWATER Seagrass Group and Torres Strait CRC between 2002 and 2013, plus any additional data collected from Recommendation 1. The spatial data would incorporate seagrass, coral, algae and other benthic information at intertidal sites and incorporate a habitat sensitivity matrix. This spatial data would allow for an assessment of regions in Torres Strait that have high habitat sensitivity to shipping accidents and oil spills that should be priority areas for protection in the event of a spill, and would provide the basis for identifying candidate areas in Torres Strait where oil spill scenarios should be modelled with hydrodynamic information (Recommendation 3). This spatial data would be incorporated into the National Oil Spill Response Atlas and e-Atlas.
- 3. Oil spill scenario modelling in Torres Strait. This would incorporate the consolidated habitats at risk from shipping accidents and oil spills spatial data with hydrodynamic modelling to assess different oil spill scenarios in Torres Strait. This information is particularly important considering the Torres Strait community's dependence on fisheries from an economic and subsistence perspective, and the proximity of key fisheries habitat to shipping channels.



5 **REFERENCES**

Aragones, L. V., Lawler, I. R., Foley, W. J. and Marsh, H. 2006. Dugong grazing and turtle cropping: grazing optimization in tropical seagrass systems? Oecologia, **149**: 635-647

Bjorndal, K. A. 1995. Biology and conservation of sea turtles. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington

Carter, A., Taylor, H., McKenna, S. and Rasheed, M. 2013. Critical Marine Habitats in High Risk Areas, Torres Strait – Seo Reef to Kai-Wareg Reef. Centre for Tropical Water & Aquatic Ecosystem Research. James Cook University, 67 pp.

Carter, A., Taylor, H., McKenna, S. and Rasheed, M. 2014. Long-term Seagrass Monitoring in the Port of Thursday Island: March 2014. Centre for Tropical Water & Aquatic Ecosystem Research report no.14/24. James Cook University pp.

Chartrand, K. M., Taylor, H. A. and Rasheed, M. A. 2009. Mabuiag Island seagrass baseline survey, March/May 2009. DEEDI Publication (QPIF), Northern Fisheries Centre, Cairns, 10 pp.

Coles, R. G., Lee Long, W. J., Watson, R. A. and Derbyshire, K. J. 1993. Distribution of seagrasses, and their fish and penaeid prawn communities, in Cairns Harbour, a tropical estuary, Northern Queensland, Australia. Marine and Freshwater Research, **44**: 193-210

Coles, R. G., McKenzie, L. J. and Campbell, S. J. 2003. Chapter 11: The seagrasses of eastern Australia. Page 119-128. In E. P. Green and F. T. Short (eds), World Atlas of Seagrasses. University of California Press, Berkley, USA

Costanza, R., d'Arge, R., De Groot, R., Farber, S., Grasso, M., Hannon, B., Limburg, K., Naeem, S., O'neill, R. and Paruelo, J. 1997. The value of the world's ecosystem services and natural capital. nature, **387**: 253-260

Costanza, R., De Groot, R., Sutton, P., van der Ploeg, S., Anderson, S. J., Kubiszewski, I., Farber, S. and Kerry Turner, R. 2014. Changes in the global value of ecosystem services. Global Environmental Change, **26**: 152-158

Daniell, J., Hemer, M., Heap, A., Mathews, E., Sbaffi, L., Hughes, M. and Harris, P. 2006. Biophysical Processes in the Torres Strait Marine Ecosystem II. Survey results and review of activities in response to CRC objectives. Geoscience Australia, 210 pp.

DERM. 2011. Marine strandings update December 2011. Department of Environment and Resource Management (DERM), Queensland, Australia, 2 pp.

Fourqurean, J. W., Duarte, C. M., Kennedy, H., Marba, N., Holmer, M., Mateo, M. A., Apostolaki, E. T., Kendrick, G. A., Krause-Jensen, D., McGlathery, K. J. and Serrano, O. 2012. Seagrass ecosystems as a globally significant carbon stock. Nature Geoscience, **5**: 505-509

Grech, A., Chartrand-Miller, K., Erftemeijer, P., Fonseca, M., McKenzie, L., Rasheed, M., Taylor, H. and Coles, R. 2012. A comparison of threats, vulnerabilities and management approaches in global seagrass bioregions. Environmental Research Letters, **7**: 024006

Haywood, M. D. E., Pitcher, C. R., Ellis, N., Wassenberg, T. J., Smith, G., Forcey, K., McLeod, I., Carter, A., Strickland, C. and Coles, R. 2008. Mapping and characterisation of the inter-reefal benthic assemblages of the Torres Strait. Continental shelf research, **28**: 2304-2316

Heap, A. D. and Sbaffi, L. 2008. Composition and distribution of seabed and suspended sediments in north and central Torres Strait, Australia. Continental shelf research, **28**: 2174-2187

Heck, K. L., Carruthers, T. J. B., Duarte, C. M., Hughes, A. R., Kendrick, G., Orth, R. J. and Williams, S. W. 2008. Trophic Transfers from Seagrass Meadows Subsidize Diverse Marine and Terrestrial Consumers. Ecosystems, **11**: 1198-1210

Heck, K. L., Hays, G. and Orth, R. J. 2003. Critical evaluation of the nursery role hypothesis for seagrass meadows. Marine Ecology-Progress Series, **253**: 123-136

Heck, K. L. and Valentine, J. F. 2006. Plant - herbivore interactions in seagrass meadows. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, **330**: 420-436

Johannes, R. E. and MacFarlane, J. W. 1991. Traditional fishing in the Torres Strait islands. CSIRO Division of Fisheries Hobart, Marine Laboratories, Cleveland, Queensland, Australia

Kuo, J. and McComb, A. J. 1989. Seagrass taxonomy, structure and development. Page 6-73. In A. W. D. Larkum, A. J. McComb and S. A. Shepherd (eds), Biology of seagrasses: a treatise on the biology of seagrasses with special reference to the Australian Region. Elsevier, New York

Kwan, D. 2002. Towards a sustainable Indigenous fishery for dugongs in Torres Strait: A contribution of empirical data and process. PhD thesis. James Cook University, Townsville, Australia, 282 pp.

Lavery, P. S., Mateo, M. A., Serrano, O. and Rozaimi, M. 2013. Variability in the carbon storage of seagrass habitats and its implications for global estimates of blue carbon ecosystem service. PLoS ONE, **8**: e73748

Long, B. and Skewes, T. 1996. On the trail of seagrass dieback in Torres Strait. Professional Fisherman, **18**: 15-16, 18

Madsen, J. D., Chambers, P. A., James, W. F., Koch, E. W. and Westlake, D. F. 2001. The interaction between water movement, sediment dynamics and submersed macrophytes. Hydrobiologia, **444**: 71-84

Marsh, H., Grech, A. and Hagihara, R. 2012. Aerial survey of Torres Strait to evaluate the efficacy of an enforced and possibly extended Dugong Sanctuary as one of the tools for managing the dugong fishery. Australian Marine Mammal Centre and the Torres Strait Regional Authority, Canberra, Australia, pp.

Marsh, H., Harris, A. N. M. and Lawler, I. R. 1997. The sustainability of the indigenous dugong fishery in Torres Strait, Australia/Papua New Guinea. Conservation Biology, **11**: 1375-1386

Marsh, H. and Kwan, D. 2008. Temporal variability in the life history and reproductive biology of female dugongs in Torres Strait: The likely role of sea grass dieback. Continental shelf research, **28**: 2152-2159

Marsh, H. and Lawler, I. R. 2002. Dugong distribution and abundance in the northern Great Barrier Reef Marine Park-November 2000. Research Publication No. 77. Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority (GBRMPA) and James Cook University, Townsville, Australia, 62 pp.

Marsh, H., Lawler, I. R., Kwan, D., Delean, S., Pollock, K. and Alldredge, M. 2004. Aerial surveys and the potential biological removal technique indicate that the Torres Strait dugong fishery is unsustainable. Animal Conservation, **7**: 435-443

McGlathery, K. J., Sundback, K. and Anderson, I. C. 2007. Eutrophication in shallow coastal bays and lagoons: the role of plants in the coastal filter. Marine Ecology-Progress Series, **348**: 1-18

McKenzie, L. J., Rasheed, M. A., Lee Long, W. J. and Coles, R. G. 1996. Port of Mourilyan Seagrass monitoring, Baseline Surveys - Summer (December) 1993 and Winter (July) 1994. EcoPorts Monograph Series No. 2. Ports Corporation of Queensland, Brisbane, 51 pp.

McMahon, K. and Walker, D. I. 1998. Fate of seasonal, terrestrial nutrient inputs to a shallow seagrass dominated embayment. Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Science, **46**: 15-25

Mellors, J. E. 1991. An evaluation of a rapid visual technique for estimating seagrass biomass. Aquatic Botany, **42**: 67-73

Nietschmann, B. 1984. Hunting and ecology of dugongs and green turtles, Torres Strait, Australia. National Geographic Society Research Report, **17**: 625-651

Pendleton, L., Donato, D. C., Murray, B. C., Crooks, S., Jenkins, W. A., Sifleet, S., Craft, C., Fourqurean, J. W., Kauffman, J. B., Marba, N., Megonigal, P., Pidgeon, E., Herr, D., Gordon, D. and Baldera, A. 2012. Estimating Global "Blue Carbon" Emissions from Conversion and Degradation of Vegetated Coastal Ecosystems. PLoS One, **7**:

Poiner, I. R. and Peterkin, C. 1996. Seagrasses. Pages 40–45 in L. Zann and P. Kailola, editors. The state of the marine environment report for Australia. Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority, Townsville, Australia.

Queensland Transport and GBRMPA. 2000. Oil spill risk assessment for the coastal waters of Queensland and the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park. Queensland Transport and the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority (GBRMPA), Queensland, Australia, 65 pp.

Rasheed, M. A., Dew, K. R., McKenzie, L. J., Coles, R. G., Kerville, S. P. and Campbell, S. J. 2008. Productivity, carbon assimilation and intra-annual change in tropical reef platform seagrass communities of the Torres Strait, north-eastern Australia. Continental shelf research, **28**: 2292-2303

Raven, M. M. 1990. The point of no diminishing returns: hunting and resource decline on Boigu Island, Torres Strait. PhD Thesis. University of California, Davis, 326 pp.

Sheppard, J. K., Carter, A. B., McKenzie, L. J., Pitcher, C. R. and Coles, R. G. 2008. Spatial patterns of sub-tidal seagrasses and their tissue nutrients in the Torres Strait, northern Australia: Implications for management. Continental shelf research, **28**: 2282-2291

Sheppard, J. K., Lawler, I. R. and Marsh, H. 2007. Seagrass as pasture for seacows: Landscape-level dugong habitat evaluation. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, **71**: 117-132

Short, F. T. and Wyllie-Echeverria, S. 1996. Natural and human-induced disturbance of seagrasses. Environmental conservation, **23**: 17-27

Spellerberg, I. F. and Fedor, P. J. 2003. A tribute to Claude Shannon (1916–2001) and a plea for more rigorous use of species richness, species diversity and the 'Shannon–Wiener' Index. Global Ecology and Biogeography, **12**: 177-179

Taylor, H. 2011. Moa Island Seagrass Baseline Survey, February 2011. . DEEDI Publication. Fisheries Queensland, Cairns. 15 pp.

Taylor, H., Carter, A., Davies, J., McKenna, S., Reason, C. and Rasheed, M. 2013. Seagrass productivity, resilience to climate change and capacity for recovery in the Torres Strait – 2011-2013 Report. JCU Publication 13/40. Centre for Tropical Water & Aquatic Ecosystem Research, Cairns, 80 pp.

Taylor, H. A. and Rasheed, M. A. 2010. Badu Island seagrass baseline survey, March 2010. DEEDI Publication, Fisheries Queensland, Northern Fisheries Centre, Cairns, 13 pp.

Unsworth, R. K. F. and Cullen, L. C. 2010. Recognising the necessity for Indo-Pacific seagrass conservation. Conservation Letters, **3**: 63-73

Vanderwal, R. L. 1973. The Torres Strait: protohistory and beyond. University of Queensland, Anthropology Museum, St Lucia

Watson, R. A., Coles, R. G. and Long, W. L. 1993. Simulation estimates of annual yield and landed value for commercial penaeid prawns from a tropical seagrass habitat, northern Queensland, Australia. Marine and Freshwater Research, **44**: 211-219

Waycott, M., Duarte, C. M., Carruthers, T. J., Orth, R. J., Dennison, W. C., Olyarnik, S., Calladine, A., Fourqurean, J. W., Heck, K. L. and Hughes, A. R. 2009. Accelerating loss of seagrasses across the globe threatens coastal ecosystems. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, **106**: 12377-12381

Williams, G. 1994. Fisheries and marine research in Torres Strait. Australian Government Publishing Service, Canberra, Australia, pp.



